

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/751,264	01/02/2001	Andrew W. Chow	8957 EXAMINER	
75	90 01/26/2004			
Andrew W. Chow			LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON	
15306 Parkville Drive Houston, TX 77068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N		
. /	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/751,264	CHOW, ANDREW W.		
Authory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	pars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ( condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	<ol> <li>a timely filed amendment whi</li> </ol>	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe steem on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee are extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:			
(a) 🛮 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);		
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying t		
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendmer		
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)☑ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: 2 and 3.				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.		
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		·		
10. Other:		·		
	•	Frederick L. Lagman		
		Examiner		
		Art Unit: 3673		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the inclusion of the superstructure being capable of floating and providing buoyancy raises new issues that would require further consideration.